

# Finite-time Performance

- Asymptotics of simulation-optimization algorithms usually don't "kick in" early enough to be practically relevant
- How can one assess finite-time (non-asymptotic) performance?
- Let  $Z=f(X)$  be **true** obj value of solution  $X$  returned by optimization algorithm under given computational budget
- Estimate distribution function of  $Z$

# Optimal Choice of n and m?

- Get realizations of  $X$  by running optimization algorithm  $X_i$   $i=1, 2, \dots, n$
- Estimate  $f(X_i)$  by running simulation at  $X_i$  giving  $(Z_{ij}: i=1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m)$
- Estimate distribution function at  $z$  as

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I \left( \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m Z_{ij} \leq z \right)$$

- Confidence bands (in  $z$ )?

# Detecting Structure

- Detect structure in problems based on estimated function values only
- Use a kind of hypothesis test
- Maximize likelihood of perturbed function values that ensure structural property holds
- Convexity, unimodality, monotonicity and others

# Approximate DP and Sim Opt

- Approximately solve difficult dynamic programming problems
- Can implement greedy policy with respect to approximate value function  $V(x; a)$

$$V(x; a) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i V_i(x)$$

- How to find good coefficients “a”?
- Regression, LP often advocated since fast and plausible

# Maybe Use Sim Opt Instead?

- But these methods don't always give best possible coefficients
- E.g., tetris example does factor of 20 better than best regression/LP method (Szita, Lorincz 06)
- We used direct search on an EMS problem, get far better performance
- Can we design specialized sim-opt algorithms for these kinds of problems?