# <u>A Bayesian Stopping Rule for a Single Arm Study:</u> with a Case Study of Stem Cell Transplantation

Chao-Yin Chen Kathryn Chaloner University of Minnesota University of Iowa May-15-2002 • A Case Study: MT9928

Transplantation of Umbilical Cord Blood from Related and Unrelated Donors by Wagner et al. (2001)

— Goal: investigate the use of umbilical cord blood as a source of stem cells for transplantation

— Two strata:

 $\triangleright$  one stratum for a higher dose, with maximum sample size of n=46

 $\triangleright$  one for a lower dose, with maximum sample size of n = 20.

- Statistical Analysis
- $\odot$  A small single arm study
- $\odot$  Maximum sample size for the study is fixed—required by Institutional Review Board (IRB)
- $\odot$  A sequential stopping rule to examine each patient in turn
- $\odot$  The primary concern and the goal:
  - Pr ( Graft Failure of Day 45 ) <10% with an upper limit of 20%

— Stopping rules used are derived from the program Best by Goldman and Hannan (2001)

▷ For the higher dose group:  $\{ -3 \ 4 \ 11 \ 19 \ 20 \ 38 \ 46 \}$ ▷ For the lower dose group:  $\{ -3 \ 11 \ 20 \}$ 

 $\odot$  For Type I error = 0.05 maximize power of

 $H_0: p = 0.10$  vs.  $H_1: p = 0.20$ 

 $\odot$  The stopping rule for stratum with n = 46:

 $\{--3\ 4\ 11\ 19\ 20\ 38\ 46\}$  with power = 0.6202,

e.g. If  $FSSSFFF \implies Do \mathbf{NOT}$  stop, continuing enroll the  $8^{th}$  patient

 $\odot$  The stopping rule for stratum with n = 20:

 $\{-3\ 11\ 20\}$  with power = 0.3858 (too low!)

e.g. If FSSSFFF  $\implies$  Stop at the 7<sup>th</sup> patient

Different sample size  $\implies$  Different rules

#### Motivation:

Unless there is prior information that strata are different the stopping rule for a particular outcome (e.g. FSSSFFF) should be identical.



Use prior information to improve stopping rule and statistical analysis

# $\downarrow$ A Bayesian stopping rule

The Proposed Method and Program

Prior:

 $p \sim Beta(a, b)$ 

Conditional:

$$x_i \mid p \sim^{indep.} Bernoulli(p), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Posterior:

$$p \mid X \sim Beta \left(a + \sum x_i, b + n - \sum x_i\right)$$

• Bayesian stopping rule:

$$\triangleright \text{ Stop if } Pr(p \le 0.10 | data) \le p_2 \text{ (e.g. 0.3)}$$
  
and if  $Pr(p \ge 0.20 | data) \ge p_3 \text{ (e.g. 0.5)}$ 

▷ Stop if, for the new treatment, the posterior probability of graft failure of 10% or less is  $\leq 0.30 = p_2$  and posterior probability of graft failure of 20% or more is  $\geq 0.50 = p_3$ 

▷ Otherwise, conclusion is unclear, so continue to use new treatment.

<u>Ex:</u> Suppose for stratum 1 (higher dose) the prior (in red) is with mean = 0.1 and s.d. = 0.09 ( $\simeq Beta(1.01, 9.10)$ ) and for stratum 2 (lower dose) the prior (in blue) is with mean = 0.15 and s.d. = 0.09 ( $\simeq Beta(2.21, 12.53)$ ).  $p_0 = 0.10$  is marked in black and  $p_1 = 0.20$  is in green.



<u>Ex (continued)</u>: If  $p_2 = 0.30$  and  $p_3 = 0.50$  are chosen, Bayesian stopping rules are:

▷ For the higher dose stratum: { - 3 8 13 18 23 28 33 38 43 46 }
 size = 0.0896 (compared to 0.0492)
 power = 0.5649 (compared to 0.6202)
 e.g. Stop if FSSSFFF (compared to "Continuing enroll the 8<sup>th</sup>")

 $\triangleright$  For the lower dose stratum: {  $-5\ 10\ 15\ 20$  }

size = 0.1375 (compared to 0.0496)

power = 0.5214 (compared to 0.3858)

e.g. Stop if FSSSFFF (compared to "Stop at the  $7^{th}$ ")

Different prior information  $\Longrightarrow$  Different rules

- If for both strata the prior information is the same (i.e.  $\simeq Beta(1.01, 9.10)$ ) the stopping rules are:
- $\triangleright$  For the higher dose stratum:  $\{-3 \ 8 \ 13 \ 18 \ 23 \ 28 \ 33 \ 38 \ 43 \ 46 \}$

 $\triangleright$  For the lower dose stratum:  $\{-3\ 8\ 13\ 18\ 20\ \}$ 

Same prior information  $\implies$  same stopping rules

## Outline of Technical Report

- Background and Motivation
- The Proposed Method and Program (in  $\mathcal{R}$ )
- Case Study—A Bayesian Stopping Rule for MT9928
- Tables Showing rules for different prior distributions, different  $p_2$  and  $p_3$
- Compare rules by
  - 1. Size
  - 2. Power
  - 3. Average Sample Size (ASN)
  - 4. Expected Relative Loss (ERL)

### Conclusion

With some prior knowledge stopping rules can be improved upon.

 $\mathcal{R}$  Program is available at:

http://www.stat.umn.edu/ chaoyin/stopbundle.R
with functions: mbetaplot, sizepower, and stopping

#### References

- 1. DeGroot M.H. Optimal Statistical Decisions. McGraw-Hill, Inc. 1970.
- Goldman A.I. Issues in designing sequential stopping rules for monitoring side effects in clinical trials. *Controlled Clinical Trials* 1987; 8: 327 - 337
- Goldman, A.I. and Hannan, P. Optimal continuous sequential boundaries for monitoring toxicity in clinical trials: a restricted search algorithm. *Statistics in Medicine* 2001; 20: 1575 - 1589
- 4. Goldman A.I., Hannan, P.  $SeqOne^{\textcircled{C}}$ , copyright 2000.
- 5. Goldman A.I., Hannan, P. Best<sup>©</sup>, copyright 2000.
- 6. Uitenbroek, D. G. (1997). SISA–SPRT. http://home.clara.net/sisa/sprt.htm.
- Wagner J.E., Barker J., Davies S.M., Dusenbery K., MacMillan M.L., McGlave P.B., Ramsay, N.K., Verfaillie C.M. and Weisdorf D.J., MT9928 Transplantation of Umbilical Cord Blood from Related and Unrelated Donors, unpublished protocol document, 2001.