

Complexity of Stochastic Optimization

Benjamin Armbruster

Department of Management Science and Engineering
Stanford University

March 9, 2006 / Stanford INFORMS Seminar

Outline

Introduction

Deterministic Optimization

Stochastic Approximation

Sample Average Method

New Results

More time periods

Conclusion

Stochastic Optimization

$$(z, x^*) = \min_x f(x) := \mathbb{E}_\omega F(x, \omega) := \int_{\Omega} F(x, \omega) dP(\omega) \quad (1)$$

s.t. $x \in K$

- ▶ $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$
- ▶ K and F are “nice”

- ▶ K convex
- ▶ F convex $\implies f$ convex

- ▶ we can sample from P

Ellipsoid Method

$$\begin{aligned} \min_x f(x) \\ \text{s.t. } x \in K := \{x : g(x) \leq 0\} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Assumptions

- ▶ f convex
- ▶ g convex $\implies K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ convex
- ▶ oracles for f, f', g, g'
- ▶ $B(r) \subseteq K \subseteq B(R)$

Result: $f(x_N) - z^* \leq \epsilon$ and $g(x_N) \leq \epsilon \vec{1}$ if

$$N = O(1)d^2 \log \frac{R \max |f|}{r\epsilon}$$

Gradient Descent

$$\min_{x \in K} f(x) \quad (3)$$

Assumptions:

- ▶ f convex
- ▶ L Lipschitz constant of f
- ▶ oracle for f'
- ▶ ignoring constraints for now
- ▶ $K \subseteq B(R)$

Algorithm:

$$x_{i+1} = x_i - \alpha_i \frac{f'(x_i)}{\|f'(x_i)\|}$$

Step size: $\alpha_i = R/\sqrt{i}$

Performance:

$$f(x_N) - z^* \leq \epsilon \quad \text{if} \quad N = O(1) \frac{L^2 R^2}{\epsilon^2}$$

Stochastic Approximation

Assumptions:

- ▶ $L := \sqrt{\sup_{x \in K} \mathbb{E} \|F'(x, \omega)\|^2}$
- ▶ oracle for F' (and assume $f' = \mathbb{E} F'$)
- ▶ ignoring constraints for now
- ▶ $K \subseteq B(R)$

Algorithm:

- ▶ i.i.d. samples ω^1, \dots
- ▶ $y_{i+1} := y_i - \alpha_i F'(y_i, \omega_i)$
- ▶ step size: $\alpha_i := \frac{R}{L\sqrt{i}}$
- ▶ $x_N := \left[\sum_{N/2}^N \alpha_i \right]^{-1} \sum_{N/2}^N \alpha_i y_i$

Performance:

$$\mathbb{E} f(x_N) - z \leq \epsilon \quad \text{if} \quad N = O(1) \frac{L^2 R^2}{\epsilon^2}$$

Improved Convergence

- ▶ Assume $c_1 \|x - x^*\|^2 \leq f(x) - z \leq c_2 \|x - x^*\|^2$
- ▶ $y_{i+1} := y_i - \alpha_i F'(y_i, \omega_i)$
- ▶ step size: $\alpha_i := \frac{3}{i c_1}$
- ▶ $x_N := \left[\sum_{N/2}^N \alpha_i \right]^{-1} \sum_{N/2}^N \alpha_i y_i$

Performance:

$$\mathbb{E} f(x_N) - z \leq \epsilon \quad \text{if} \quad N = O(1) c_2 \frac{R^2 + L^2/c_1^2}{\epsilon}$$

Sample Average Method

original problem $(z, x^*) = \min_{x \in K} f(x) := \mathbb{E}_\omega F(x, \omega)$

sample average problem take i.i.d. samples $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^N$

$$\begin{aligned} (z_N, x_N) = \min_x f_N(x; \omega^1, \dots, \omega^N) &:= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N F(x, \omega^i) \\ \text{s.t. } x &\in K \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

generally $z_N \rightarrow z$, $x_N \rightarrow x^*$, and $f(x_N) \rightarrow z$

Known Results for Sample Average Method

- ▶ $\sqrt{N}(x_N - x^*) \Rightarrow X$
- ▶ $\Pr[f(x_N) - z \geq \epsilon] \leq \delta$ if

$$N \geq \frac{O(1)\widehat{R}^2}{\epsilon^2} \left[d \log \frac{2RL}{\epsilon} + O(1) + \log \frac{1}{\delta} \right] \quad (5)$$

Assuming

- ▶ $F(\cdot, \omega)$ Lipschitz with modulus L
- ▶ $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of diameter R
- ▶ $\widehat{R} := \sup_{x, \omega} |F(x, \omega)|$

New Results

The program:

1. Assume $c_1 \|x - x^*\|^2 \leq f(x) - z \leq c_2 \|x - x^*\|^2$, then

$$\Pr[\|x^* - x_N\| \geq \sqrt{\epsilon/c_1}] \leq \Pr[f(x_N) - z \geq \epsilon] \leq \Pr[\|x^* - x_N\| \geq \sqrt{\epsilon/c_2}]$$

2. $\Pr[\|x^* - x_N\| \geq \Delta] \leq \delta$ if

$$N \geq \frac{2C_0^2}{\Delta^2 c_1^2} \left[\log \frac{1}{\delta} + d \log \log \frac{1}{\delta} + O(1) \right]$$

3. So, $\Pr[f(x_N) - z \geq \epsilon] \leq \delta$ if

$$N \geq \frac{2C_0^2 c_2}{\epsilon c_1^2} \left[\log \frac{1}{\delta} + d \log \log \frac{1}{\delta} + O(1) \right]$$

$$C_0 := \sup_{x,i,\omega} |F'_i(x, \omega)|$$

Comparison

General complexity:

stochastic approximation: $O(1) \frac{L^2 R^2}{\epsilon^2} c(\delta)$

sample average method: $O(1) \frac{\widehat{R}^2}{\epsilon^2} c(\delta)$

Assuming $c_1 \|x - x^*\|^2 \leq f(x) - z \leq c_2 \|x - x^*\|^2$

stochastic approximation: $O(1) c_2 \frac{R^2 + L^2/c_1^2}{\epsilon} c(\delta)$

sample average method: $c_2 \frac{C_0^2}{\epsilon c_1^2} c(\delta)$

Two-period problems

$$\min_{x \in K} f(x) := \mathbb{E}_\omega F(x, \omega)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, \omega) &:= \min_{y(\omega)} r(y; x, \omega) \\ &\text{s.t. } g(y; x, \omega) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Multi-period problems probably hard

in a T period stochastic problem

$$\min_{x \in K} f(x) := \mathbb{E}_{\omega} F(x, \omega)$$

F is the solution to a $T - 1$ period problem
sample average method needs $O(\epsilon^{-2(T-1)})$ samples

Discussion

- ▶ how do you deal with multiple stages?
- ▶ how do you choose the probability distributions?
- ▶ ϵ^{-2} or ϵ^{-1} complexity probably good enough for real-world problems

Summary

- ▶ generally ϵ^{-2} complexity
- ▶ a $f'(x^*) = 0$ implies ϵ^{-1} complexity
- ▶ stochastic approximation and sample-average methods quite similar

References

BA2006 *Sample Complexity of Smooth Stochastic Optimization* www.stanford.edu/~barmbrus

Shapiro,Nemirovski 2005 *On complexity of stochastic programming problems* eprint:
www.optimization-online.org

Dyer,Stougie 2006 *Computational complexity of stochastic programming problems* Math. Prog. 106:3